

DeSantis Criticized for Redirecting Opioid Funds to Anti-Cannabis Efforts

Florida Uses Opioid Settlement Funds to Fund Anti-Cannabis Campaign, Sparking Controversy

Florida has drawn significant criticism for using millions from its opioid settlement funds to finance Governor Ron DeSantis's anti-cannabis campaign, a move that is facing pushback from public health advocates and some state officials. According to state records reported by the *Tampa Bay Times*, the Florida Department of Children and Families (DCF) has allocated \$4 million of settlement funds to pay a marketing agency for an advertising campaign targeting the "dangers" of marijuana, opioids, and other drugs. Critics argue that these funds, meant to address the opioid epidemic, are being inappropriately repurposed to fund what they describe as a political campaign against cannabis legalization.

Background on the Opioid Settlement and Florida's Campaign

The funds in question come from a massive national settlement with opioid manufacturers and distributors, including Purdue Pharma, which faced lawsuits for their role in creating the opioid crisis. Florida is set to receive approximately \$3 billion over the next two decades from the settlement, which, by law, is intended to "abate the opioid epidemic" by providing resources to communities devastated by addiction and overdose deaths.

However, recent DCF reports indicate that a portion of these funds is being used in a campaign aimed primarily at warning Floridians about marijuana use. While the campaign does address opioid addiction, critics say that focusing on cannabis diverts attention and resources away from combating the opioid crisis itself. Additionally, DCF has declined to elaborate on how the anti-cannabis messaging ties into the campaign's original purpose, further fueling the debate.

The Content and Tone of Anti-Cannabis Ads

The campaign recently aired two prominent ads highlighting potential risks of marijuana use among teenagers, making connections between cannabis consumption and mental health issues, including schizophrenia. One ad suggests that "marijuana today is engineered by corporations for one purpose: to rewire the human mind," a statement that many advocates see as misleading.

These ads have drawn criticism for being alarmist and, some say, overstating the risks associated with cannabis use. Public health experts argue that while there are risks associated with underage cannabis consumption, the focus on marijuana detracts from messaging directly related to opioid addiction, which remains a critical issue in Florida.

Bipartisan Opposition and Public Concerns

The reallocation of funds has sparked bipartisan criticism, with some Florida officials and public health experts openly questioning the decision. At a press conference held Friday, attorney John Morgan, a vocal cannabis legalization advocate, expressed outrage over the use of public funds for what he described as a “political agenda.” Morgan was joined by State Senators Joe Gruters (R) and Jason Pizzo (D), who both voiced their disapproval.

“Under no circumstance should the state government be able to decide, without input from the legislature, that \$50 million owed to the taxpayers will be spent on a political agenda,” Morgan stated at the press conference. Gruters and Pizzo echoed his concerns, noting that the use of funds for an anti-cannabis campaign seems misaligned with the settlement’s intended purpose of opioid epidemic relief.

Public health advocates have also argued that the messaging misrepresents cannabis risks, potentially undermining credible public health campaigns focused on harm reduction. They stress that Florida’s opioid crisis should remain the priority, especially as opioid overdoses continue to take lives at an alarming rate across the state.

A Broader Pattern of Anti-Cannabis Advertising

This is not the first time taxpayer dollars have funded anti-cannabis messaging in Florida. In September, a Department of Transportation (DOT) campaign sparked outrage when an ad equated cannabis use with an increase in DUI crashes, suggesting that legalized marijuana “puts everyone at risk.” This ad drew criticism from cannabis advocates who argued that the message was misleading and lacked statistical backing.

In mid-October, another ad from the DOT featured three Florida sheriffs making claims about the alleged dangers of cannabis, including an assertion linking marijuana use to a greater risk of domestic violence. The DOT campaign has received significant backlash from Floridians and cannabis advocacy groups, who argue that the ads demonize cannabis use without scientific evidence to support the claims.

The Debate Over Cannabis Legalization in Florida

The controversy over the anti-cannabis campaign comes as Florida voters are set to decide on Amendment 3, a ballot initiative that would legalize recreational cannabis in the state. If passed, Amendment 3 would make Florida the latest in a growing list of states to embrace adult-use cannabis, potentially opening new revenue streams through regulated sales.

The timing of the anti-cannabis ads has led some to accuse the DeSantis administration of using state resources to influence public opinion against the amendment. Critics argue that the state’s focus on cannabis in its drug prevention messaging is politically motivated and distracts from the urgent needs related to the opioid crisis.

Public opinion on cannabis legalization is divided in Florida, but recent polling suggests that a significant majority of Floridians support legalizing cannabis for recreational use. Advocates for Amendment 3 argue that legalizing cannabis could create jobs, generate tax revenue, and reduce criminal justice costs associated with marijuana-related offenses.

Misuse of Funds: Legal and Ethical Implications

The decision to use opioid settlement funds for anti-cannabis advertising has raised legal and ethical questions. Under the terms of the settlement, the funds are intended for opioid epidemic mitigation, including treatment programs, education, and prevention of opioid misuse. Redirecting funds to an anti-cannabis campaign could be considered a misuse of public money, potentially opening the state to legal challenges

from advocacy groups and affected communities.

Critics argue that the campaign fails to address the core issue of opioid addiction and may, in fact, diminish resources available for urgently needed programs in areas hardest hit by the opioid crisis. These communities, which were promised support through the settlement, now face the possibility that funds intended to address opioid addiction may be spent on campaigns with a different focus.

Calls for Accountability and Transparency

In light of these concerns, advocates are calling for greater transparency and accountability regarding the use of opioid settlement funds. They are urging the state to disclose how much of the settlement money will be allocated to initiatives directly combating opioid addiction and to explain the rationale for including anti-cannabis messaging in campaigns ostensibly focused on opioids.

State lawmakers are also exploring ways to ensure that future spending aligns more closely with the settlement's intended purpose. Some legislators are advocating for additional oversight and input from public health experts to ensure that resources are directed toward addressing opioid-related issues.

A Divisive Approach to Drug Prevention

The decision to use opioid settlement funds for an anti-cannabis campaign has ignited a heated debate in Florida, with bipartisan opposition, public outcry, and ethical questions surrounding the reallocation of resources. As the opioid crisis continues to impact communities across the state, critics argue that every dollar spent should be used to tackle the specific challenges posed by opioid addiction, not diverted to other areas of drug prevention.

With Florida voters soon deciding on Amendment 3, the controversy underscores the complex relationship between drug policy, public opinion, and state resource allocation. As scrutiny intensifies, advocates and lawmakers alike are calling for a renewed focus on the state's opioid crisis and a commitment to transparency in how public funds are used in Florida's evolving landscape of drug prevention and public health.

Email: info@cannabisriskmanager.com | Phone: +415-226-4060

© Copyright 2025 Cannabis Risk Manager. All Rights Reserved