

# Cannabis Community Challenges GOP Senator's Assertion on International Treaties and Cannabis Rescheduling

## Cannabis Advocates Push Back Against Senator's Claims

Dozens of cannabis companies and advocacy groups have united to challenge recent assertions made by Sen. Mitt Romney (R-UT) regarding potential violations of international drug treaties if the U.S. were to reschedule cannabis to Schedule III of the Controlled Substances Act (CSA).

In a letter addressed to Romney this month, more than 50 organizations emphasized that the senator's statements were "inaccurate" and did not align with current scientific understanding or the proper interpretation of relevant treaties.

## Challenging Misconceptions

Romney, alongside Sens. James Risch (R-ID) and Pete Ricketts (R-NE), sent a letter to DEA Administrator Anne Milgram, expressing concerns that rescheduling cannabis, as recommended by the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), could lead to violations of international obligations. However, the response from the cannabis industry and advocacy groups argues that Romney's interpretation of the law is outdated.

## Evolving International Standards

The coalition's response highlights significant developments since a 1977 court decision referenced by Romney, notably the reclassification of cannabis by the U.N. Commission on Narcotic Drugs, recognizing its medical uses. They contend that rescheduling cannabis to Schedule III merely acknowledges this evolving understanding and does not breach treaty obligations.

## Legal and Regulatory Implications

The debate surrounding cannabis rescheduling underscores broader questions about international drug treaties and their compatibility with evolving cannabis policies. While some, like Rep. Andy Harris (R-MD), raise concerns about treaty violations, others argue that global cannabis policies have evolved, citing examples such as Canada's legalization without facing penalties.

## Seeking Clarity and Progress

In response to Romney's assertions, various actions have been taken to seek clarification and progress. HHS has requested a legal opinion from the Justice Department's Office of Legal Counsel, and Rep. Earl

Blumenauer (D-OR) has called for transparency regarding the implications of potential cannabis rescheduling.

### **Advocating for Reform**

Despite international debates, advocates emphasize the urgent need for cannabis reform to facilitate scientific research and ensure access to medical cannabis. They argue that rescheduling cannabis to Schedule III would align with treaty requirements and better serve public health and safety goals.

### **Conclusion**

As the cannabis industry and advocacy groups challenge misconceptions and push for reform, the debate surrounding cannabis rescheduling highlights broader questions about international drug treaties and their compatibility with evolving cannabis policies. With stakeholders actively engaging in dialogue and seeking clarity, the path forward for cannabis reform remains dynamic and complex.

Email: [info@cannabisriskmanager.com](mailto:info@cannabisriskmanager.com) | Phone: +415-226-4060

© Copyright 2025 Cannabis Risk Manager. All Rights Reserved