

Poll Shows Support for Legal Hemp with Stronger Safeguards

Poll Finds Broad Voter Support for Legal Hemp with Stricter Safeguards

WASHINGTON – A new national poll shows that a clear majority of American voters support keeping hemp products legal, as long as federal lawmakers strengthen safety, labeling, and licensing regulations designed to protect children and consumers.

The survey, conducted by McLaughlin & Associates for the Hemp Industry & Farmers of America (HIFA), highlights the growing mainstream acceptance of hemp derived goods, coupled with widespread calls for responsible oversight. Between October 1 and 5, researchers polled 1,000 likely voters nationwide, producing results with a margin of error of ± 3.1 percentage points.

When presented with a policy proposal to maintain the legality of consumable hemp products while introducing rules such as age restrictions, school-free zones for sales, and mandatory health warnings, a significant 72% of respondents expressed support, while only 14% opposed the idea.

Widespread, Bipartisan Support Reflects Unusual Consensus

Perhaps most striking is the cross-party unity the poll reveals. Support for regulated hemp remains high across political lines, with 77% of Republicans, 71% of Democrats, and 68% of independents in favor. This rare convergence suggests that hemp policy could emerge as one of the few bipartisan areas in a deeply polarized Congress.

Nearly half of respondents (47%) said they or someone they know had purchased hemp-derived products, ranging from CBD oils to delta-8 THC gummies. The findings underscore hemp's deep integration into daily consumer habits across urban and rural America.

The Regulatory Crossroads: Balancing Growth with Safety

Since the 2018 Farm Bill legalized hemp cultivation and sales of products containing less than 0.3% THC, the industry has expanded at an unprecedented pace. New categories—such as hemp-infused beverages, edibles, and topicals—have created a multi-billion-dollar market that provides economic lifelines to farmers and small businesses.

However, the same boom has sparked regulatory unease. Lawmakers and health officials worry about youth marketing, unregulated potency, and synthetic cannabinoid additives in some hemp-derived products. In Washington, bipartisan discussions have focused on new federal guidelines—ranging from caps on THC concentration to restrictions or bans on certain consumables. These debates have intensified amid broader

efforts to balance public health, consumer freedom, and rural economic stability.

Public Endorsement for Concrete Safety Measures

The poll results indicate that most voters are not simply supportive of legalization—they want it paired with meaningful guardrails. According to the survey:

- 87% favor child-proof packaging for all hemp consumables.
- 86% support age limits of 21 and older for purchases.
- 80% endorse curbs on youth-targeted advertising, similar to tobacco and alcohol standards.
- 71% want assurances against synthetic psychoactive additives.
- Another 71% say hemp should be regulated “like alcohol,” implying comparable systems for licensing, labeling, and distribution oversight.

These figures demonstrate that Americans are seeking a middle path—preserving access for adults while demanding clear safety assurances to reduce risks for minors and first-time consumers.

Political Implications: Hemp Policy as an Election Issue

The findings also hint at possible electoral consequences. Over half of respondents (55%) said they would be more likely to vote for candidates who support hemp legalization with stricter regulations, including 62% of Republican voters. This trend could shape upcoming campaigns, particularly in agriculture-heavy states where hemp farming has revitalized rural economies.

For incumbents and challengers alike, positioning on hemp could become a litmus test for balancing pro-business stances with public safety concerns. While a small 14% opposition bloc remains, likely driven by health and enforcement worries, the data suggest that sweeping prohibitions would be politically risky and economically disruptive.

Industry Response and the Call for Measured Reform

Industry leaders view the poll as a mandate for regulatory modernization rather than rollback. Brian Swensen, Executive Director of the Hemp Industry & Farmers of America, said the survey validates what many in the field have long argued—that responsible regulation, not prohibition, is the key to long-term stability.

He emphasized that a balanced approach would protect both consumers and small operators who have worked to comply with evolving rules since hemp’s federal reintroduction. Swensen noted that abrupt bans or excessive red tape could drive legitimate businesses underground while failing to curb illicit activity.

“Hemp prohibition would amount to overreach that endangers jobs and small operators who’ve played by the rules for years,” Swensen said in a written statement. “Lawmakers ought to collaborate on practical rules rather than upend a thriving field.”

Toward a Coherent Federal Framework

The survey arrives as Congress considers the next iteration of the Farm Bill, where hemp regulation is expected to play a significant role. Industry advocates are urging lawmakers to develop uniform national standards to replace the current patchwork of state-by-state rules, which vary widely on THC limits, testing requirements, and retail restrictions.

Experts say a consistent framework could help both business owners and enforcement agencies operate with greater clarity while ensuring consumer confidence in product safety and labeling. The challenge, as policymakers acknowledge, will be designing legislation that protects public health without stifling the innovation that has driven the hemp industry's rise.

As the debate unfolds, the new poll underscores a broad public appetite for balanced reform, a model that preserves access to hemp's economic and wellness benefits while holding the industry accountable to higher safety and quality standards.

Email: info@cannabisriskmanager.com | Phone: +415-226-4060

© Copyright 2025 Cannabis Risk Manager. All Rights Reserved